

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P. D. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/779,288	02/08/2001	George A. Huff JR.	37,248-03	6599
4249	7590 03/11/2004		EXAMINER	
CAROL WILSON			TOOMER, CEPHIA D	
BP AMERICA MAIL CODE			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
4101 WINFIELD ROAD			1714	
WARRENVILLE, IL 60555			DATE MAILED: 03/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>m</u>
Advisory Action	09/779,288	HUFF ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Cephia D. Toomer	1714	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	opears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED 18 February 2004 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this app (1) a timely filed amendment w	olication. A proper reply hich places the applicati	to a ion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing b b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of the no event, however, will the statutory period for reply exponly CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). 	nis Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fire later than SIX MONTHS from the m VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS O	ailing date of the final rejection FTHE FINAL REJECTION. \$	n. See MPEP
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the perioder under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 3	od of extension and the corresponding of the shortened statutory period for re Office later than three months after the 37 CFR 1.704(b).	amount of the fee. The appro eply originally set in the final C mailing date of the final reject	priate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellar 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.192(a)).			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require fu	rther consideration and/or searc	th (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Not	e below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicatio issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by m	aterially reducing or sim	plifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cand	celing a corresponding number	of finally rejected claims	-
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rej	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) work canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	uld be allowable if submitted in a	a separate, timely filed a	mendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		onsidered but does NOT	place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLEL	Y to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	/ S:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 2,5,6,11-13 and 23-27.			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-22.			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) a	pproved or b) disapproved l	by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Staten	nent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s	·)	
10. Other:		Cephia D. Toomer Primary Examiner	Trone
		Art Unit: 1714	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: the amendment is considered non-compliant because it fails to meet the requirements of 37 CFR 1.121, as amended on June 30, 2003. A complete listing of all of the claims is not present, i.e, claims 15-22 have not been identified. Furthermore canceling claim 23 and making claims 3 and 5 dependents of claim 24 would require further consideration and search. The amendments to claims 24-26 would require further search and consideration.